

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
 William F. Abrams (SBN 88805)
 william.abrams@bingham.com
 David S. Cannon (SBN 209501)
 david.cannon@bingham.com
 Erin A. Smart (SBN 246288)
 erin.smart@bingham.com
 Sandra C. Zuniga (SBN 250881)
 sandra.zuniga@bingham.com
 1900 University Avenue
 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223
 Telephone: 650.849.4400
 Facsimile: 650.849.4800

Attorneys for Defendant
 Hewlett-Packard Company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MMCA Group Ltd.,
 Plaintiff,
 v.
 Hewlett-Packard Company, et al,
 Defendant.

7067
 No. 07-6076 MMC (EMC)
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER SEALING
 MATERIAL IN HP'S
 SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT/JUDGMENT
 ON THE PLEADINGS
 [L. R. Civ. P. 79-5]
 [No Hearing Required]
 Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

On October 30, 2009, Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Material in HP's Supplemental Motion for Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings ("Administrative Motion") and a supporting declaration ("Declaration") establishing that the designated material is subject to being sealed.

The Court, having considered the Administrative Motion and Declaration, finds the requested material, listed below subject to being sealed:

- Briefing on Defendant Hewlett-Packard's
 1. Supplemental Motion for Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings

2. Declaration of Sandra Zuniga in Support of HP's Supplemental Motion for
Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings ("Zuniga Decl.")

3. The following Exhibits to the Zuniga Decl.

a. Exhibit A

b. Exhibit B

c. Exhibit C

d. Exhibit D

e. Exhibit E

f. Exhibit F

g. Exhibit G

h. Exhibit H

i. Exhibit I

j. Exhibit J

k. Exhibit K

l. Exhibit L

m. Exhibit M

n. Exhibit N

o. Exhibit O

p. Exhibit P

q. Exhibit Q

r. Exhibit R

s. Exhibit S

t. Exhibit T

u. Exhibit U

v. Exhibit V

w. Exhibit W

x. Exhibit X

y. Exhibit Y

- 1 z. Exhibit Z
- 2 aa. Exhibit AA
- 3 bb. Exhibit BB
- 4 4. Declaration of Warren Rother in Support of HP's Supplemental Motion for
- 5 Summary Judgment ("Rother Decl.")
- 6 5. The following Exhibits to the Rother Decl.
- 7 a. Exhibit A
- 8 b. Exhibit B
- 9 c. Exhibit C
- 10 d. Exhibit D
- 11 e. Exhibit E
- 12 f. Exhibit F
- 13 g. Exhibit G
- 14 6. Declaration of Mikhail Reider-Gordon in Support of HP's Supplemental
- 15 Motion for Summary Judgment ("Reider-Gordon Decl.")
- 16 7. The following Exhibits to the Reider-Gordon Decl.
- 17 a. Exhibit A
- 18 8. Hewlett-Packard Company's Supplemental Evidentiary Objections to the
- 19 Declaration of Morgan Cherry in Opposition to Defendant Hewlett-Packard
- 20 Company's Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively Motion for
- 21 Judgment on the Pleadings
- 22 9. Hewlett-Packard Company's Supplemental Evidentiary Objections to the
- 23 Declaration of Thomas Byrne in Opposition to Defendant Hewlett-Packard
- 24 Company's Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively Motion for
- 25 Judgment on the Pleadings
- 26 10. Hewlett-Packard Company's Supplemental Evidentiary Objections to the
- 27 Declaration of Lau Geckler in Opposition to Defendant Hewlett-Packard
- 28 Company's Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively Motion for

Judgment on the Pleadings


Therefore, pursuant to Rule 79 of the Local Civil Rules of the Northern District of California, the Administrative Motion is GRANTED.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, the following material shall referenced above shall be filed under seal:

DATED: January 5, 2010 ~~2009~~

By:


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge